BEST AVAILABLE COPY



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	I	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
07/855,475 03/23	/92 SUDOH	Т	1587-015-0X-	
		EXAMINER		
18N2/1006 OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HWY., 4TH FL.		LEGUYADER, J		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 222	IS HWY., 4TH FL. 32		29	
		1805 DATE MAILED:	10/06/93	
This is a communication from the examiner in COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRAD	n charge of your application. DEMARKS		10/00/33	
			•	
This application has been examined	Responsive to communication filed on	7/21/93	This action is made final.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133				
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S	S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:			
Notice of References Cited by Exa Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, F Information on How to Effect Draw	PTO-1449. 4. Not	tice of Draftsman's Paterice of Informal Paterit	ent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Application, PTO-152.	
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION	•			
1. Claims 2-7 +/	0-23		are pending in the application.	
Of the above, claims		are v	vithdrawn from consideration.	
2. Claims	.		have been cancelled.	
3. Claims			are allowed.	
4. Claims 2-7 +	10-23		are rejected.	
5. Claims			are objected to.	
6. Claims	a	re subject to restriction	or election requirement.	
7. This application has been filed with in	nformal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are	acceptable for examina	ation purposes.	
8. Formal drawings are required in resp	onse to this Office action.			
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).				
10. The proposed additional or substitute examiner; disapproved by the examiner	e sheet(s) of drawings, filed on aminer (see explanation).	has (have) been C	approved by the	
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has beenapproved;disapproved (see explanation).				
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on				
13. Since this application apppears to be accordance with the practice under E	Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
14. Other				